

## RECEIVED

NOV 1 1999

Mr. Vincent R. Sombrotto President National Association of Letter Carriers, AFL-CIO 100 Indiana Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001-2197

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION UNIT N.A.L.C. WASHINGTON, D.C.

Re:

F94N-4F-C 99098126

Class Action

Irvine, CA 92619-9998

Dear Mr. Sombrotto:

On August 19, 1999, I met with your representative to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

This issue in this case is whether management violated the National Agreement by allowing an employee to work overtime on either the day preceding or the day following a 204-B assignment.

After reviewing this matter we mutually agreed that no national interpretive issue is fairly presented in this case.

We further agreed that the Form 1723 will accurately reflect the dates the employee will be in a 204-B status.

Accordingly, we agreed to remand this case to the parties at Step 3 for further processing or to be scheduled for arbitration as appropriate.

Please sign the enclosed copy of this decision as your acknowledgment of agreement to remand this case.

Time limits were extended by mutual consent.

Sincerely,

Richard A. Murmer

Labor Relations Specialist Labor Relations Policies and

Programs (NALC)

Vincent R. Sombrotto

President

National Association of Letter

Carriers, AFL-CIO

Date: 1-18-99

Enclosure

475 L'ENFANT PLAZA SW WASHINGTON DC 20260-4100