LABOR RELATIONS



RECEIVED

FEB 1 8 1997

NALC. WASHINGTON, D.C.

Mr. Vincent R. Sombrotto
President
National Association of Letter Carriers, AFL-CIO
100 Indiana Avenue NW
Washington DC 20001-2197

RE:

194N-4I-C 97030394

Class Action

Kansas City, KS 66106-9995

194N-4I-C 97020055

Class Action

Rolla, MO 65401-9998

Dear Mr. Sombrotto:

On February 11, 1997, I met with your representative to discuss the above-captioned grievances at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The issue in these cases is whether management violated the National Agreement by not assigning CSBCS station input sort file update work to the carrier craft.

The parties mutually agreed that the work in question has not been designated to any particular group, level or position description, or craft and that the work is assigned to management or its designee and management may assign the work to be performed by any qualified and available personnel.

Accordingly, we agreed to remand these cases to the parties at Step 3.

Please sign and return the enclosed copy of this decision as your acknowledgment of agreement to remand this case.

Sincerely.

Paul A. Lyons

Labor Relations Specialist

Grievance and Arbitration

fincent R. Sombrotto

%President

National Association of Letter Carriers,

AFL-CIO

Date

bcc:

Labor Relations Processing Center: Midwest Area

Postmasters: Rolla MO 65401-9998

Kansas City MO 66106-9995

District:

Mid-America District

CAD Code(s):

Note to Area/District: The update of computers sort plans has been designated as management work per Handbook M-39, Section 111.2(p), "Maintain sort scheme for delivery zones in the unit as per local Delivery Point Sequencing (DPS) procedures." The APWU position letter that is found in the Kansas City, KS case is incorrect. The computer work in question has not been and is not designated as clerk work. It is management work that may be delegated.

RECEIVED
FEB 1 8 1997
CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION UNIT
REALE. WASHINGTON, D.C.