

## UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE 475 L'Enfant Plaza. SW Washington, DC 20260

June 7, 1983

Mr. Halline Overby
Assistant Secretary-Treasurer
National Association of Letter Carriers, AFL-CIO
100 Indiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001-2197

Re: Class Action Hagerstown, MD 21740 H1N-2D-C 5524

Dear Mr. Overby:

On April 26, 1983, we met to discuss the above-captioned case at the fourth step of the contractual grievance procedure set forth in the 1981 National Agreement.

The question raised in this grievance involves whether letter carriers are permitted to remove their names from the overtime desired list during the quarter.

After further review of this matter, we mutually agreed that no national interpretive issue is fairly presented in the particulars evidenced in this case. The parties at Step 4 agree that when a letter carrier requests that his/her name be removed from the overtime desired list, the request will be granted. However, management does not have to immediately honor the request if the employee is needed for overtime work on the day the request was made or scheduled for overtime in the immediate future. Further, once a carrier is removed from the overtime desired list, he/she will only be permitted to place their name back on the list in accordance with Article 8, Section 5.A., of the National Agreement.

Accordingly, as we further agreed, this case is hereby remanded to the parties at Step 3 for application of the above agreement.

Mr. Halline Overby

2

Please sign and return the enclosed copy of this letter as your acknowledgment of agreement to remand this case.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Jang

Labor Relations Department

Halline Overby

Assistant Secretary Treasurer National Association of Letter Carriers, AFL-CIO