

·M-00686

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW Washington, DC 20260

July 8, 1983

Mr. Halline Overby Assistant Secretary Treasurer National Association of Letter Carriers, AFL-CIO 100 Indiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-2197

> Re: D. Moore Culver City, CA 90230 E1N-5B-C-11222

Dear Mr. Overby:

On June 23, 1983, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The matters presented by you as well as the applicable contractual provisions have been reviewed and given careful consideration.

The issue in this grievance is whether the grievant, a Reserve Letter Carrier, should have been paid out-of-schedule premium because he worked a nonscheduled day of a five-day "hold-down."

The union claims that because management awarded the carrier the assignments, which resulted in him having 3 nonscheduled days in one service week, the carrier should have worked the assignments as scheduled, including the nonscheduled days.

The local union, on the other hand, claims the grievant should have worked the nonscheduled day of the second assignment in order to provide the grievant with his full forty hours of work in the service week.

It is management's position that although the grievant was awarded a five-day "hold-down" assignment that could have resulted in a short work week, the proper remedy was to adjust the schedule by having the employee work one of the nonscheduled days. Furthermore, because this adjustment was made to eliminate an undertime situation, the grievant is not entitled to out-of-schedule premium.' It is our view that, under the circumstances enumerated in the file, the grievant properly worked the days of the five-day "hold-down."

M-00686

2

Mr. Halline Overby

In the absence of a contractual violation, this grievance is denied.

Sincerely,

e Buylios

Leslie Bayliss U Labor Relations Department



M-00686

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE Western Regional Office San Bruno, CA 94099

Mr. Brian Farris, NALC National Business Agent 363 S. Main Street #106 Orange California 92668

MAY 5 1983 Culver City, CA D. Moore W1N-5B-C 11222

MAY 8 1983

Dear Mr. Farris:

This will confirm the Step 3 hearing between your designee Tom Young and myself concerning the above grievance on April 6, 1983.

Facts in this issue indicate the grievant, a full-time reserve Letter Carrier, opted on a temporary vacancy of 5 days with non-scheduled days off Friday and Saturday, November 26 and November 27, 1982, respectively. The following week he moved into another temporarily vacant assignment (via opting) with Sunday and Monday off, November 28, and November 29, 1982, respectively. The grievant was required to work Saturday November 27, 1982.

The union contneds the grievant opted on the initial assignment with Saturday November 27th as an off day and was required to work, therefore, Management must pay out-of-schedule overtime for that day.

The issue in this grievance involves whether or not Management was proper in requiring the grievant to work the concerned Saturday.

Several ramifications must be considered in this issue as follows

1) If the grievant was not scheduled to work Saturday, November 27th, he would have had 3 NSD's for that week, Saturdáy, November 27th, Sunday, November 28th, and Monday, November 29th, resulting in a 32-hour work week. How would grievant be compensated for the missing 8 hours? Obviously, Management did not negotiate and certiements requiring automatic administrative compensational

- Management maintains the work week begins on Saturday. Therefore, employees opting on assignments must start those assignments on Saturday. Difficulties arise when the local office has negotiated (per Article 30, Item 6) that the vacation week begins on Monday. Under those circumstances, the employee begins his vacation week by working Saturday and taking his first day of annual on Monday. With Sunday off and one other day off that same week, the employee cannot exercise his option of taking annual leave in a unit of 5 days, per Article 30, Further, the opting employee, if beginning the Item 7. assignment on Saturday, would conflict with the incumbent who will also be working Saturday since his vacation can't start until Monday. In the instant case, the incumbent was off; therefore, the grievant was required to work the Saturday in question and no conflict existed.
- 3) In this grievance the union argues the grievant should have been allowed Saturday the 27th off and been required to work Monday the 29th. Would Management be required to pay out-of-schedule overtime for Monday? Obviously, we did not negotiate for those results either.

Management maintains, based on the fact situation of this issue. requiring the grievant to work on Saturday, November 27th, 1982, was not unreasonable, and there is no violation of the collective bargaining agreement.

Based upon the above, the grievance is denied.

In our judgment, the grievance involves an interpretive issue pertaining to the National Agreement or a supplement thereto which may be of general application, and thus may only be appealed to Step 4 in accordance with the provisions of Article 15 of the National Agreement.

Sincerely,

am Bowling, Regional Labor Relations Representative

-2-

Pursuant to Article XV, Section 2, this form	must be used to appeal	a grievance to Step 2.	"Turke"	the state of the s
		M-00686	NALC INITIALS	USPS INITIALS
	•			
TANDARD GRIEVANCE FORM	DATE	BRANCH GRIEV NO.	U.S.P.S. NO.	
AND GRIEVANCE FURI	1-7-83	8217-CC	and a second	
U.S. STEP 2 DESIGNEE (NAME & TITLE)	ULATION	<u> </u>	FHONE-OFFICE	
	Culver City, Ca.	90230	391-6374	الكنز بيبيع وينت ويسورون
INE BRANCH NO. BUSINESS ADDRESS		Bodende Desek	0- 000000	
1650 1926 S. Pacific Coast	c Hwy., Suite 210	PHONE-OFFICE	La. YUZ//	
Stan Grabowski, President		316-8279		
P 1 MEETING HELD ON (DATE/TIME) BETWEEN: U.S.P.S. REPRESENTATIVE	ANO: GRIEVANT	STEWARD		
12-17-82 C. Hevert		S. C	irabowski.	
EVANT'S NAME (CR CLASS)	•		PHONE	
VE ADORESS	CITY	STATE	290-0062	Z1 P
<u>3638 Carmona Ave. # 2</u>	Los Angeles	Ca.	900	
3 CLASSIFICATION	CRAFT SENIORITY DATE	USPS SENICRITY DATE	DUTY HOURS	<u>/_u</u>
City Carrier	11-18-80	1-2-79		
TION OR BRANCH	SOCIAL SECURITY N	IQ.	VETERAN	<u> </u>
Main Office F DAYS SA SU M	7 W TH	F LEVEL STEP REG	X YES	PTR PTF
TIXEDCHECK AS	ו הי הי הי	5 5	rea rea	
EP 1: RENOERED ON (DATE/TIME) BY (NAME & TITLE)	<u></u>		SUPERVISOR'S INITI	IALS
Crision 12-29-82 (Ext) C. Heyert, Carrier Foreman				
ASUANT TO ARTICLE XV OF THE NATIONAL AGREEMENT. WE HEREBY A				
SLATION: INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO NATIONAL (ART. & SECT.)	VIII, Sec. 5/XII	LOCAL (ART. & SECT.)	
HER GROUNDS:				
CTS: WHAT HAPPENED The prievant. a Reserve La	tter Carrier, opt	ed on route 18. 1	hich had	
	······ · · · · · · ·	······································		· · · · ·
11-26-62 and 11-27-82 as non-schedul				
on 11-27-82. The grievant did not r		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
was attempting to eliminate a proble	a which would are	ise in the grieva	nt's schedul	
for the following week, due to an op	t for that perbo	i, which had 11-29	7-82 as the	
non-scheduled day.		{	ADDITIONAL SH	EET ATTAC
				<u>`</u>
		an opted for sche		
would cause the affected employee to	be in either an	"overtime or und	ertime" stat	533,
an adjustment can be made by either	scheduling him or	a the non-schedul	ed day of th	ie opt
or non-scheduling him on one of the	scheduled days of	f the opt to avoi	d the "over	time
or undertime" situation. However, t				
during the opted for period in which	the problem arts			
opted for period in which the proble	marises.		ADDITIONAL SH	EET ATTAC
ORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTED:	want of acha	dule pay for 11-2	7_97	
HALFERTARE CALLER CREATER (PAL	94 211 - 966 - 96 - 5678	<u></u>		
	<u></u>		<u> </u>	
·		·····		
	4	-x 11	/	<u> </u>
Stan Grabowski		Tan Jack	and	•
A DEADLINE AND A DEADLINE AND A DEAL AND A		1110 12/11/19		