

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW Washington, DC 20260 MAY 1'1 1981

Mr. Halline Overby Assistant Secretary-Treasurer National Association of Letter Carriers, AFL-CIO 100 Indiana Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001

Re: F. Warren
Tampa, FL 33602
H8N-3W-C-25867

Dear Mr. Overby:

On April 28, 1981, we met on the above-captioned case at the fourth step of the contractual grievance procedure set forth in the 1978 National Agreement.

The question raised in this grievance involves whether the assignment of reserve letter carriers to a unit other than their bid unit is a violation of Articyle XLI.

Reserve letter carriers are assigned to a unit other than their own when there is not an eight (8) hour assignment available at their bid unit. Instances may arise where the assignment is for more than one day at a time. However, if an eight (8) hour assignment becomes available at their bid unit no later than the previous workday, every effort is made to return the reserve letter carrier to his unit to fill the assignment. If the vacancy becomes available on a same day situation, management does not return the reserve letter carrier to his unit since he has already reported to another unit. As the circumstances are described, we find no contractual violation.

Sincerely,

Viki D. Kaddox

Labor Relations Department

Article XLI -- Grievant assigned to another location rather than location of successful bid because there was a insufficient amount of work in that unit.