

EMPLOYEE AND LABOR RELATIONS GROUP Washington, DC 20260

6 1979 APR

Mr. Joseph H. Johnson, Jr. Director, City Delivery National Association of Letter Carriers. AFL-CIO 100 Indiana Avenue, MW Washington, DC 20001

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Based on a pre-arbitration discussion of the above-captioned case, the matter is now considered settled based on the following:

In full settlement of this grievance, it is agreed that route examiners will not instruct carriers to change their mode of delivery on the day of route inspection. By copy of this letter, local management is instructed to ensure that all route examiners in the Toledo, Ohio Post Office, are instructed that carriers must perform their duties and travel their routes in precisely the same manner on inspection day as they do throughout the year.

Please sign copy of this letter as your acknowledgment of the agreed to settlement.

Sincerely,

Labor Relations Department

Director, City Delivery

National Association of Letter

Carriers, AFL-CIC

	•			
•	Are travel pattern, relay and set up efficiently?	park points	edyett. El fi Satern effect	
·	Does carrier take obvious sho	rt-cuts?		
	(Underscort	ng added.)	<u>.</u>	
which the of lawns tiation of therefore respect to least the	Presumably the M-39 Handbook USPS believed necessary, in r by Carriers, when it last was for the 1975 National Agreement, the official USPS policies as lawn crossing by Carriers appropriately believed to lawn a route is inspected duties in "exactly the same manning."	espect to the revised prior As matter ad procedure pear to include the Carrier	e crossing r to nego- s now stand, s in ude at should per-	56
before or	2. The route examiner does no during a route inspection, to Carrier normally performs his	change the	manner in	
"of all i	3. The route examiner makes tems that need attention" (such short-cut by crossing a given ons" he has for "improving the	h as failure n lawn) and	ito take: -::	. ::: ::::